

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,740 09/19/2003		09/19/2003	Ronald R. Savin	U 014822-2	6059
140	7590	09/01/2005		EXAMINER	
LADAS & 26 WEST 61		ET.	ROSENBAUM, MARK		
NEW YORK			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			. 3725	

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\sim	6
/))	W

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
065 - A -45 0	10/666,740	SAVIN, RONALD R.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark Rosenbaum	3725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	ne 2005.	•					
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 16 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the state of t	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 1 – continuous—is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-15,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolger. This patent discloses the ball milling of metal powder to form metal flakes in the presence of polytetrafluoroethylene and a stearic acid. Zinc is a well known metal powder. Thus, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bolger by treating zinc powder since zinc is a well known metal powder. The remaining limitations would then have been obvious design choices as they solve no stated problems. For example, the amounts of each material used would have been obvious based on routine experimentation and the desired final product. Also, lithium stearate is a well known stearic acid and it's use within the process would have been obvious once

Art Unit: 3725

it was known to use a stearic acid as in Bolger. Note for claim 10 the powder is still not being positively claimed, as it is in claim 16.

Claims 18,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolger as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of either Schreiner or Kaufman. Bolger does not cool his material which may result in an undesired product. Both Schreiner and Kaufman solve this problem by showing a similar process including the continuous cooling of material in a ball mill. In order to properly treat the material, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bolger by continuously cooling the material, taught to be desirable by both Schreiner and Kaufman.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claim 1, for example, of Bolger, does not limit the material in the patent to aluminum or bronze i.e. there is no reason why a zinc powder could not be used within the patent. Concerning the cooling of the material during a ball milling process, clearly the secondary references show this process step.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Rosenbaum

Application/Control Number: 10/666,740

Art Unit: 3725

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

MR

Page 5